



Figure 12: Application of Time Switch Control Factor for a space with 8:00am to 5:00pm operation schedule (grey) and 1.5 hour delay time on override switch

This allows for a conservative savings estimate, as occupancy profiles (See Figure 1), have higher energy use closed to the last hour of occupancy schedule, which gradually tapers over time toward midnight. This adjustment effectively increases the number of hours the lights are on, by the delay time on the override switch setting, as shown in Figure 12.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS

The ALCS Calculator provides a comprehensive calculation methodology for lighting controls savings, based on the best available research studies on various subjects related to lighting energy use and lighting controls. However, it is possible to further improve this methodology with more research studies.

The study on ‘Statistical Modeling of Occupancy Patterns’ (Chang and Hong 2013) provides a model, which can serve as a replicable template for future studies. Over time, with data from other building added, such statistical models can serve as valuable reference datasets to accurately estimate occupancy sensors savings.

Further research is also needed on factors influencing occupant manual switching and dimming behavior to develop a basis for savings from manual dimming.

The ALCS Calculator uses the DEER dataset of 23 commercial building and its 164 baseline lighting energy profiles to provide users with a reliable baseline energy use profile on which to base the lighting energy savings. This dataset is derived from historic data from energy measurement and verification (EM&V) studies that date back to 1994 CCID study profiles, and updated in 2005 (Itron 2005). Updates to the DEER dataset with more recent EM&V data will provide a more accurate lighting baseline for savings calculation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the various funding partners including the California Investor Owned Utilities, as well as the member utilities of the Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships / DesignLights Consortium, that provided review, guidance and testing support in the development of the ALCS Calculator.

REFERENCES

- Chang, W. K., and T. Hong. 2013. “Statistical Analysis And Modeling of Occupancy Patterns in Open-Plan Offices Using Measured Lighting-Switch Data.” *Building Simulation* 16 (1).
- Itron. 2005. *2004-2005 Database Of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) Update Study – Final Report*. Rosemead, CA: Southern California Edison.
- McNeil, A., and E. S. Lee. 2012. “A Validation Of the Radiance Three-Phase Simulation Method for Modeling Annual Daylight Performance of Optically Complex Fenestration Systems.” *Journal Of Building Performance Simulation* 6 (1): 24–37.
- Saxena, M., G. Ward, T. Perry, L. Hescong, and R. Higa. 2010. “Dynamic Radiance – Predicting Annual Daylighting With Variable Fenestration Optics Using BSDFs.” *Proceedings Of 2010 IBPSA SimBuild Conference*.
- Saxena, M. (Hescong Mahone Group). 2011. *Office Daylighting Potential* (Publication No. CEC-500-2013-002). Sacramento, CA: California Energy Commission.
- Ward, Gregory J. 1994. “The RADIANCE Lighting Simulation and Rendering System.” *Proceedings Of the 21st Annual SIGGRAPH Conference*.
- Williams, A., B. Atkinson, K. Garbesi, E Page, and F. Rubinstein. 2012. “Lighting Controls In Commercial Buildings.” *Leukos* 8 (6).